

MAD/PH/787

MZ-TH/93-22

August 1993

CP Violation Induced by Heavy Majorana
Neutrinos
in the Decays of Higgs Scalars into
Top-Quark, W - and Z -Boson Pairs

A. Ilakovac^a, B.A. Kniehl^{b *} and A. Pilaftsis^{a † ‡}

^a Institut für Physik, Johannes-Gutenberg Universität, 55099 Mainz, Germany

^b Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA

ABSTRACT

We analyze the possibility of CP violation induced by heavy Majorana neutrinos in the decays of the Higgs particle into top-quark, W - and Z -boson pairs. In the framework of various “see-saw” models with interfamily mixings, we find that Majorana neutrinos may give rise to sizable CP -odd observables at the one-loop electroweak order. Numerical estimates of these CP -violating effects that may be detected in high-energy colliders are presented.

^{*}On leave from II. Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Hamburg, 22761 Hamburg, Germany.

[†]Address after 1 October 1993: Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon, England.

[‡]E-mail address: pilaftsis@vipmza.physik.uni-mainz.de

The possible existence of heavy Majorana neutrinos plays an important rôle in addressing a number of outstanding questions in cosmology and astrophysics [1] like the smallness in mass of the known neutrinos, the solar neutrino deficit [2], the baryon asymmetry in the universe [3], etc. Apart from the possibility of producing such heavy neutral leptons at high energy ee , ep , or pp colliders [4], their presence may be manifested by detecting lepton-flavour violating decays of the Z and the Higgs (H^0) particle [5,6,7,8] or through their influence [9] on the electroweak oblique parameters, S , T , U , or ε_1 , ε_2 , ε_3 [10,11,12].

Another interesting aspect, which will be investigated in this letter, is that quantum effects mediated by heavy Majorana neutrinos can induce sizable CP -odd signals [13] in the production of top-quark (t), W - and Z -boson pairs originating from the decay of the Standard Model (SM) Higgs boson. Specifically, we shall investigate whether CP asymmetries defined as [14,15,16]

$$A_{CP}^{(t)} = \frac{\Gamma(H^0 \rightarrow t_L \bar{t}_L) - \Gamma(H^0 \rightarrow t_R \bar{t}_R)}{\Gamma(H^0 \rightarrow t \bar{t})} \quad (1)$$

and [15]

$$A_{CP}^{(W)} = \frac{\Gamma(H^0 \rightarrow W_{(+1)}^+ W_{(+1)}^-) - \Gamma(H^0 \rightarrow W_{(-1)}^+ W_{(-1)}^-)}{\Gamma(H^0 \rightarrow W_{(+1)}^+ W_{(+1)}^-) + \Gamma(H^0 \rightarrow W_{(-1)}^+ W_{(-1)}^-)} \quad (2)$$

may be observable at LHC or SSC energies. The subscripts L , R , and (± 1) in Eqs. (1) and (2) denote the two helicity states of the top quark and the helicities of the transverse degrees of the W boson, respectively. The states t_L and $W_{(+1)}^-$ are connected with the states $\bar{t}_R$ and $W_{(-1)}^+$ by CP conjugation. Therefore, $A_{CP}^{(t)}$ and $A_{CP}^{(W)}$ represent genuine CP -violating parameters that can be determined experimentally. By analogy to Eq. (2), a CP asymmetry, $A_{CP}^{(Z)}$, for the decay channel $H^0 \rightarrow ZZ$ can be constructed. It should be stressed that the CP asymmetries $A_{CP}^{(t)}$, $A_{CP}^{(W)}$, and $A_{CP}^{(Z)}$ resulting from the Feynman graphs shown in Fig. 1 cannot be induced if the heavy neutrinos are of standard Dirac type. To be more specific, at one loop in the SM, the amplitude of $H^0 \rightarrow W^+ W^-$ contains a term proportional to $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \varepsilon^\mu(k_+) \varepsilon^\nu(k_-) k_+^\rho k_-^\sigma$, where $k_\pm$ and $\varepsilon(k_\pm)$ denote the four-momenta and polarization four-vectors of the $W^\pm$ bosons, respectively. However, the coefficient of this term vanishes for $k_+^2 = k_-^2$ and, in particular, for on-shell W bosons [17].

The minimal class of models that predict heavy Majorana neutrinos can be obtained by simply adding n_G right-handed neutrinos, $\nu_{R_i}^0$, to the field content of the SM [18], where n_G denotes the number of generations. After spontaneous break-down of the $SU(2) \otimes U(1)$ gauge symmetry, the Yukawa sector containing the neutrino masses reads

$$- \mathcal{L}_Y^\nu = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\nu}_L^0, \bar{\nu}_R^{0C}) M^\nu \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L^{0C} \\ \nu_R^0 \end{pmatrix} + H.c. , \quad (3)$$

where the neutrino mass matrix,

$$M^\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_D \\ m_D^T & m_M \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

takes the known “see-saw” form [19]. In Eq. (4), m_D and m_M are $n_G \times n_G$ -dimensional matrices that cannot, in general, be diagonalized simultaneously. Note also that the $B-L$ -breaking mass terms, $m_{M_{ij}}$, can be assumed to be bare masses in the Lagrangian without violating the gauge symmetry of the SM . The symmetric matrix M^ν can be brought into diagonal form by a $2n_G \times 2n_G$ unitary matrix U^ν (i.e., $\hat{M}^\nu = U^{\nu T} M^\nu U^\nu$). This yields $2n_G$ physical Majorana neutrinos, n_i , which are related with the weak eigenstates through the unitary transformations

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_L^0 \\ \nu_R^{0C} \end{pmatrix}_i = U_{ij}^{\nu*} n_{L_j}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L^{0C} \\ \nu_R^0 \end{pmatrix}_i = U_{ij}^\nu n_{R_j}. \quad (5)$$

The first n_G Majorana neutrinos, ν_i , are identified with the ordinary neutrinos, ν_e, ν_μ , etc. (i.e., $\nu_i = n_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n_G$), while the remaining n_G mass eigenstates represent heavy Majorana neutrinos that are predicted by the model (i.e., $N_i = n_{i+n_G}$). It is now straightforward to obtain for our purposes the relevant interactions of the Majorana neutrinos with the W -, Z -, and Higgs bosons in this minimal model. Adopting the notation of [20], these interactions are given in a renormalizable form by

$$\mathcal{L}_{int}^W = - \frac{g_W}{2\sqrt{2}} W^{-\mu} \bar{l}_i B_{lij} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) n_j + H.c. , \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{int}^Z = - \frac{g_W}{4 \cos \theta_W} Z^\mu \bar{n}_i \gamma_\mu [i \text{Im} C_{ij} - \gamma_5 \text{Re} C_{ij}] n_j , \quad (7)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{int}^H = - \frac{g_W}{4M_W} H^0 \bar{n}_i \left[(m_{n_i} + m_{n_j}) \text{Re} C_{ij} + i \gamma_5 (m_{n_j} - m_{n_i}) \text{Im} C_{ij} \right] n_j , \quad (8)$$

where

$$C_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_G} U_{ki}^\nu U_{kj}^{\nu*}, \quad (9)$$

$$B_{lij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_G} V_{lik}^l U_{kj}^{\nu*}. \quad (10)$$

The matrices B and C obey a great number of useful identities that will help us to quantify our CP asymmetries. These identities read [5,6]

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n_G} B_{lii} B_{l_2i}^* = \delta_{ll_2}, \quad (11)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2n_G} C_{ik} C_{jk}^* = C_{ij}, \quad (12)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n_G} B_{li} C_{ij} = B_{lj}, \quad (13)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2n_G} m_{n_i} C_{ik} C_{jk} = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n_G} B_{lik}^* B_{lkj} = C_{ij}. \quad (15)$$

In general, the mixings between light-heavy states, i.e., B_{lN} or $C_{\nu N}$, can be constrained by a global analysis of low-energy experiments and LEP data [22].

It is worth mentioning that the Lagrangians of Eqs. (6)–(8) violate the CP symmetry of the model. Analytically, Eq. (6) introduces CP violation by the known complex Cabbibo-Kobayashi-Maskawa-type matrix, B_{lin_j} . The neutral-current interactions described by Eqs. (7) and (8) violate also the CP symmetry of the model, since the neutral particles H^0 and Z couple simultaneously to CP -even ($\bar{n}_i n_j$ or $\bar{n}_i \gamma_\mu n_j$) and CP -odd ($\bar{n}_i \gamma_5 n_j$ or $\bar{n}_i \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 n_j$) operators with two different Majorana neutrinos (i.e., $n_i \neq n_j$) [21]. As a consequence of the latter, non-zero CP -odd parts are generated radiatively in the $H^0 t\bar{t}$, $H^0 W^+ W^-$, and $H^0 Z Z$ couplings, and thus CP violation is induced in the corresponding decays of the Higgs particle (see also Fig. 1).

After setting the stage, we are now in a position to calculate the CP asymmetry related to the $H^0 \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ decay. Actually, we are looking for CP -odd correlations of the type $\langle (\vec{s}_t - \vec{s}_{\bar{t}}) \cdot \vec{k}_t \rangle$ as given in Eq. (1). This kind of CP -odd observables, being odd under

CPT , should combine with the CPT -odd absorptive parts in the totally integrated decay rates. The important ingredient for a non-zero $A_{CP}^{(t)}$ are Eqs. (7) and (8), which violate the CP symmetry of the model, as pointed out earlier. Thus, vacuum-polarization transitions between the CP -even Higgs and the CP -odd Z boson, which are forbidden in the SM , can now give rise to a pseudoscalar part in the $H^0 t\bar{t}$ coupling (see also Fig. 1(a)). In this way, one obtains

$$A_{CP}^{(t)} = \frac{\alpha_W}{4} \text{Im} C_{ij}^2 \sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j} \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{\lambda_H} \frac{\lambda^{1/2}(\lambda_H, \lambda_i, \lambda_j)}{\lambda^{1/2}(\lambda_H, \lambda_t, \lambda_t)}, \quad (16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i &= \frac{m_{n_i}^2}{M_W^2}, & \lambda_H &= \frac{M_H^2}{M_W^2}, & \lambda_t &= \frac{m_t^2}{M_W^2}, \\ \lambda(x, y, z) &= (x - y - z)^2 - 4yz. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

From Eq. (16) we see that two non-degenerate Majorana neutrinos with appreciable masses are at least required in order to get $A_{CP}^{(t)} \neq 0$. In general, the number of CP -odd phases that exist theoretically in the SM with right-handed neutrinos is [6]

$$\mathcal{N}_{CP} = N_L(N_R - 1), \quad (18)$$

where N_L and N_R are the numbers of left-handed and right-handed neutrinos, respectively. Assuming now three generations, one has $\text{Im} C_{N_1 N_2}^2 \leq 10^{-2}$ [22]. However, the situation changes if one introduces an additional left-handed neutrino field, $\nu_{L_4}^0$, in the Lagrangian of the SM [23]. If a non-trivial mixing between the two right-handed neutrinos is assumed, M^ν of Eq. (4) takes the form

$$M^\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ a & A & 0 \\ b & 0 & B \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

Obviously, the mass eigenvalues of M^ν should be in excess of $M_Z/2$ in order for the respective particles to escape detection at LEP experiments. Employing Eq. (14), one can derive the helpful relations

$$\text{Im} C_{\nu_4 N_1}^2 = \sin \delta_{CP} |C_{\nu_4 N_1}|^2, \quad (20)$$

$$\text{Im}C_{\nu_4 N_1}^2 = -\frac{m_{N_1}}{m_{N_2}} \sin \delta_{CP} |C_{\nu_4 N_1}|^2, \quad (21)$$

$$\text{Im}C_{N_1 N_2}^2 = \frac{m_{\nu_4}}{m_{N_2}} \sin \delta_{CP} |C_{\nu_4 N_1}|^2. \quad (22)$$

In these models, the mixing $|C_{\nu_4 N_1}|^2$ can be of order one. In Table 1, we present the numerical results for $A_{CP}^{(t)}$ within the two models mentioned above. In particular, we see that CP asymmetries $A_{CP}^{(t)} \simeq 4 \cdot 10^{-2}$ are conceivable in such four-generation scenarios with Majorana neutrinos [23,24]. We emphasize again the fact that high-mass Dirac neutrinos cannot produce a non-zero CP asymmetry.

Similarly, heavy Majorana neutrinos can generate a CP -odd part in the $H^0 W^+ W^-$ coupling through the triangle graph shown in Fig. 1(b). Since our model is free of anomalies [25], one can uniquely search for CP -violating correlations of the form

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \varepsilon_{(+1)}^\mu(\hat{z}) \varepsilon_{(+1)}^\nu(-\hat{z}) k_+^\rho k_-^\sigma = M_H \vec{k}_+ \cdot (\vec{\varepsilon}_{(+1)}(\hat{z}) \times \vec{\varepsilon}_{(+1)}(-\hat{z})), \quad (23)$$

In Eq. (23), we have assumed that the polarization vectors, $\varepsilon_{(+1)}^\mu(\hat{z})$ and $\varepsilon_{(+1)}^\nu(-\hat{z})$, describe two transverse W bosons with helicity $+1$. The presence of CP -odd terms in the transition amplitude of the decay $H^0 \rightarrow W^+ W^-$ leads to the CP asymmetry

$$A_{CP}^{(W)} = \frac{\alpha_W}{4} \left[\text{Im}(B_{l_k i} B_{l_k j}^* C_{ij}^*) \sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j} (F_+ + F_-) + \text{Im}(B_{l_k i} B_{l_k j}^* C_{ij}) (\lambda_i F_+ + \lambda_j F_-) \right], \quad (24)$$

where $\alpha_W = (g_W^2/4\pi)$. The functions $F_\pm$ in Eq. (24) receive absorptive contributions from three different kinematic configurations of the intermediate states that can become on-shell. A straightforward computation of all absorptive parts shown in Fig. 1(b) gives

$$\begin{aligned} F_\pm(\lambda_i, \lambda_j, \lambda_{l_k}) = & \theta(M_H - m_{n_i} - m_{n_j}) \left[\pm \frac{\lambda^{1/2}(\lambda_H, \lambda_i, \lambda_j)}{2\lambda^{1/2}(\lambda_H, \lambda_W, \lambda_W)} + G_\pm(\lambda_i, \lambda_j, \lambda_{l_k}) \right. \\ & \times \ln \left(\frac{t^+(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) - \lambda_{l_k}}{t^-(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) - \lambda_{l_k}} \right) \left. \right] + \theta(M_W - m_{n_i} - m_{l_k}) \left[\left(-\frac{\lambda_H - 4\lambda_W}{\lambda_W} \right. \right. \\ & \mp \frac{\lambda_H}{\lambda_W} \left. \right) \frac{\lambda^{1/2}(\lambda_W, \lambda_i, \lambda_{l_k})}{4\lambda^{1/2}(\lambda_H, \lambda_W, \lambda_W)} + G_\pm(\lambda_i, \lambda_j, \lambda_{l_k}) \ln \left(\frac{\bar{t}^+(\lambda_i, \lambda_{l_k}) - \lambda_j}{\bar{t}^-(\lambda_i, \lambda_{l_k}) - \lambda_j} \right) \left. \right] \\ & + \theta(M_W - m_{n_j} - m_{l_k}) \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_H - 4\lambda_W}{\lambda_W} \mp \frac{\lambda_H}{\lambda_W} \right) \frac{\lambda^{1/2}(\lambda_W, \lambda_j, \lambda_{l_k})}{4\lambda^{1/2}(\lambda_H, \lambda_W, \lambda_W)} \right. \\ & \left. + G_\pm(\lambda_i, \lambda_j, \lambda_{l_k}) \ln \left(\frac{\bar{t}^+(\lambda_j, \lambda_{l_k}) - \lambda_i}{\bar{t}^-(\lambda_j, \lambda_{l_k}) - \lambda_i} \right) \right], \quad (25) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda_{l_k} = \frac{m_{l_k}^2}{M_W^2}, \quad \lambda_W = 1, \quad \lambda_Z = \frac{M_Z^2}{M_W^2}, \quad (26)$$

$$t^\pm(x, y) = -\frac{1}{2} \left[\lambda_H - x - y - 2\lambda_W \mp \lambda^{1/2}(\lambda_H, x, y) \lambda^{1/2}(\lambda_H, \lambda_W, \lambda_W) \right], \quad (27)$$

$$\bar{t}^\pm(x, y) = \lambda_H + x - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_H (\lambda_W + x - y) \pm \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{1/2}(\lambda_H, x, y) \lambda^{1/2}(\lambda_H, \lambda_W, \lambda_W), \quad (28)$$

$$G_\pm(x, y, z) = \frac{x - y}{4\lambda_H} \pm \frac{2(\lambda_W + z) - x - y}{4(\lambda_H - 4\lambda_W)}. \quad (29)$$

The dominant contribution to $A_{CP}^{(W)}$ comes from the $n_i n_j$ on-shell states, while absorptive parts arising from $n_i l_k$ or $n_j l_k$ states are vanishingly small. In Table 2, we exhibit numerical estimates for $A_{CP}^{(W)}$ within the two representative “see-saw” scenarios discussed above. In order to measure $A_{CP}^{(W)}$, one has to be able to discriminate the events $W_{(+1)}^+ W_{(+1)}^-$ and $W_{(-1)}^+ W_{(-1)}^-$ from the total number of W bosons produced by Higgs-boson decays. An estimate can be obtained from the following ratio:

$$\begin{aligned} R^{(W)} &= \frac{\Gamma(H^0 \rightarrow W_{(+1)}^+ W_{(+1)}^-) + \Gamma(H^0 \rightarrow W_{(-1)}^+ W_{(-1)}^-)}{\Gamma(H^0 \rightarrow W^+ W^-)} \\ &= \frac{8M_W^4}{M_H^4} \left(1 - 4 \frac{M_W^2}{M_H^2} + 12 \frac{M_W^4}{M_H^4} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Since one expects to be able to analyze 10^5 – 10^6 Higgs decays per year at *SSC* or *LHC* for a wide range of Higgs-boson masses, i.e., $M_H = 300$ – 800 GeV, it should, in principle, be possible to see $R^{(W)}$ values of order 10^{-2} – 10^{-3} and CP asymmetries of $A_{CP}^{(W)} \simeq 10\%$ in the *SM* with three (four) generations.

Similarly, heavy Majorana neutrinos induce, through the triangle graph of Fig. 1(c), a non-zero CP asymmetry in the decay $H^0 \rightarrow ZZ$, which is found to be

$$\begin{aligned} A_{CP}^{(Z)} &= \frac{\alpha_W}{4} \left[\text{Im}(C_{ij} C_{jk} C_{ki}) (\lambda_i F_1 + \lambda_j F_2) + \text{Im}(C_{ij}^* C_{jk} C_{ki}) \sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j} (F_1 + F_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\text{Im}(C_{ij}^* C_{jk}^* C_{ki}) \sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_k} + \text{Im}(C_{ij} C_{jk}^* C_{ki}) \sqrt{\lambda_j \lambda_k} \right) (R + F_1 - F_2) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where

$$R = \theta(M_H - m_{n_i} - m_{n_j}) \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{t^+(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) - \lambda_k}{t^-(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) - \lambda_k} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \theta(M_W - m_{n_i} - m_{n_k}) \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\bar{t}^+(\lambda_i, \lambda_k) - \lambda_j}{\bar{t}^-(\lambda_i, \lambda_k) - \lambda_j} \right) \\
& + \theta(M_W - m_{n_j} - m_{n_k}) \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\bar{t}^+(\lambda_j, \lambda_k) - \lambda_i}{\bar{t}^-(\lambda_j, \lambda_k) - \lambda_i} \right). \tag{32}
\end{aligned}$$

The functions F_1 , F_2 , $t^\pm$, and $\bar{t}^\pm$ are obtained from F_+ , F_- , Eqs. (27) and (28), respectively, by making the obvious replacements, $\lambda_W \rightarrow \lambda_Z$ and $\lambda_{l_k} \rightarrow \lambda_k$, in the relevant formulae. From Table 3 we see that $A_{CP}^{(Z)}$ can be of the order of 10%, which should be sizable enough to observe such a CP -violating effect at pp supercolliders.

In conclusion, we have shown that heavy Majorana neutrinos are of potential interest in accounting for possible CP -violating phenomena in the decays of Higgs bosons into $t\bar{t}$, W^+W^- , and ZZ pairs. The minimal extension of the SM by right-handed neutrinos can naturally account for sizable CP -violating effects of the order of 10% at high-energy colliders and thus provides an attractive alternative to complicated multi-Higgs-boson scenarios tuned to yield large CP -odd effects [14,15,16,26]. We must remark that the CP asymmetries $A_{CP}^{(t)}$, $A_{CP}^{(W)}$, and $A_{CP}^{(Z)}$ may not be directly accessible by experiment. However, the CP -violating signals originating from such Higgs-boson decays will be transcribed to the decay products of the top-quark, W -, and Z -boson pairs. More realistic CP -odd projectors can be constructed, for instance, by considering angular-momentum distributions or energy asymmetries of the produced charged leptons and jets [14,15,27].

Acknowledgements. We wish to thank X. Zhang and A. Mukhamedzhanov for discussions. *BK* is grateful to the Phenomenology Department at the University of Wisconsin-Madison for the warm hospitality. The work of *BK* has been supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-76ER00881, in part by the Texas National Laboratory Research Commission under Grant No. RGFY93-221, and in part by the University of Wisconsin Research Committee with funds granted by the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation. The work of *AP* has been supported by a grant from the Postdoctoral Graduate College of Mainz.

References

- [1] For a review, see, e.g., E.W. Kolb and M. Turner, *The Early Universe*, (Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, 1989); J. Vergados, *Phys. Rep.* **133** (1986) 1; R.N. Mohapatra and P.B. Pal, *Massive Neutrinos in Physics and Astrophysics*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991).
- [2] L. Wolfenstein, *Phys. Rev.* **D17** (1978) 2369; S.P. Mikheyev and A. Yu Smirnov, *JETP* **64** (1986) 913; S.J. Parke and T.P. Walker, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57** (1986) 2322; J.N. Bahcall, *Nucl. Phys. (proc. suppl.)* **B19** (1991) 94; S. Turck-Chieze et al., *Phys. Rep.* **230** (1993) 57; A.M. Mukhamedzhanov, I.A. Ibrajimov and N.K. Timofeyuk, *Int. Nucl. Phys. Conference*, Book of Abstracts, Wiesbaden, 1992, p. 1.5.2.
- [3] C.E. Vayonakis, *Phys. Lett.* **B286** (1992) 92; K. Enqvist and I. Vilja, *Phys. Lett.* **B299** (1993) 281; W. Buchmüller and T. Yanagida, *Phys. Lett.* **B302** (1993) 240.
- [4] For recent articles on the subject see, e.g., D.A. Dicus and P. Roy, *Phys. Rev.* **D44** (1991) 1593; W. Buchmüller and C. Greub, *Nucl. Phys.* **B363** (1991) 345; J. Maalampi, K. Mursula and R. Vuopionperä, *Nucl. Phys.* **B363** (1992) 23; A. Datta and A. Pilaftsis, *Phys. Lett.* **B278** (1992) 162; D. Choudhury, R.M. Godbole and P. Roy, *Phys. Lett.* **B308** (1993) 394.
- [5] A. Pilaftsis, *Phys. Lett.* **B285** (1992) 68.
- [6] J.G. Körner, A. Pilaftsis and K. Schilcher, *Phys. Rev.* **D47** (1993) 1080; *Phys. Lett.* **B300** (1993) 381.
- [7] J. Bernabéu, A. Santamaria, J. Vidal, A. Mendez and J.W.F. Valle, *Phys. Lett.* **B187** (1987) 303.
- [8] J. Bernabéu, J.G. Körner, A. Pilaftsis, and K. Schilcher, Mainz preprint 1993, MZ-TH/93-04.

- [9] S. Bertolini and A. Sirlin, *Phys. Lett.* **B257** (1991) 179; E. Gates and J. Terning, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** (1991) 1840; B.A. Kniehl and H.-G. Kohrs, *Phys. Rev.* **D48** (1993) 225.
- [10] M.E. Peskin and T. Takeuchi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65** (1990) 964; *Phys. Rev.* **D46** (1992) 381; G. Altarelli and R. Barbieri, *Phys. Lett.* **B253** (1990) 161; G. Altarelli, R. Barbieri and S. Jadach, *Nucl. Phys.* **B369** (1992) 3.
- [11] G. Degrassi, B.A. Kniehl and A. Sirlin, DESY preprint 1993, DESY 93-074.
- [12] For a global analysis of electroweak parameters at two loops in the SM , see F. Halzen, B.A. Kniehl and M.L. Stong, *Z. Phys.* **C58** (1993) 119.
- [13] A. Pilaftsis, Mainz preprint 1993, MZ-TH/93-18.
- [14] C.R. Schmidt and M.E. Peskin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69** (1992) 410.
- [15] D. Chang and W.-Y. Keung, *Phys. Lett.* **B305** (1993) 261.
- [16] A. Pilaftsis and M. Nowakowski, Mainz preprint 1992, MZ-TH/92-56, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* (to appear).
- [17] B.A. Kniehl, *Nucl. Phys.* **B357** (1991) 439.
- [18] J. Schechter and J.W.F. Valle, *Phys. Rev.* **D22** (1980) 2227.
- [19] T. Yanagida, Proceedings of the *Workshop on Unified Theory and Baryon Number of the Universe*, eds. O. Swada and A. Sugamoto (KEK, 1979) p. 95; M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond, and R. Slansky, *Supergravity*, eds. P. van Nieuwenhuizen and D. Friedman (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979) p. 315.
- [20] A. Pilaftsis, *Z. Phys.* **C55** (1992) 275.
- [21] See, e.g., G. Cvetič, M. Nowakowski and A. Pilaftsis, *Phys. Lett.* **B301** (1993) 77.
- [22] P. Langacker and D. London, *Phys. Rev.* **D38** (1988) 886.

- [23] C.T. Hill and E.A. Paschos, *Phys. Lett.* **B241** (1990) 96;
C.T. Hill, M.A. Luty and E.A. Paschos, *Phys. Rev.* **D43** (1991) 3011; G. Jungman and M.A. Luty, *Nucl. Phys.* **B361** (1991) 24.
- [24] In fact, the model constructed here differs crucially from that of [23]. One should require a strong mixing between $\nu_{L_4}^0$ and two right-handed neutrinos, $\nu_{R_1}^0$ and $\nu_{R_2}^0$, in order to describe CP violation. As a consequence of the absence of interfamily mixings, the Hill-Paschos model corresponds effectively to the case $N_R = 1$, which implies $\mathcal{N}_{CP} = 0$ on account of Eq. (18).
- [25] M. Nowakowski and A. Pilaftsis, *Phys. Rev.* **D48** (1993) 259.
- [26] J.F. Donoghue and G. Valencia, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58** (1987) 451; **E60** (1988) 243;
M. Nowakowski and A. Pilaftsis, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A6** (1991) 1933;
G. Eilam, J.L. Hewett and A. Soni, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** (1991) 1979; **68** (1992) 2103 (Comment); R. Cruz, B. Grzadkowski and J.F. Gunion, *Phys. Lett.* **B289** (1992) 440;
W. Bernreuther, O. Nachtmann, P. Overmann and T. Schröder, *Nucl. Phys.* **B388** (1992) 53; D. Atwood, G. Eilam and A. Soni, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** (1993) 492.
- [27] V. Barger, K. Cheung, A. Djouadi, B.A. Kniehl and P.M. Zerwas, DESY preprint 1993, DESY 93-064; B.A. Kniehl, DESY preprint 1993, DESY 93-088, *Proceedings of the Workshop on Physics and Experiments with Linear e^+e^- Colliders*, Waikoloa, Hawaii, April 26–30, 1993 (to appear).
- [28] Review of Particle Properties, *Phys. Rev.* **D45** (1992) S1.

Figure and Table Captions

- Fig. 1: Feynman graphs giving rise to a CP -odd part in the $H^0 t\bar{t}$, $H^0 W^+ W^-$, and $H^0 Z Z$ couplings.
- Tab. 1: Numerical results of $A_{CP}^{(t)}/\text{Im}C_{N_1 N_2}^2$ ($A_{CP}^{(t)}/\text{Im}C_{\nu_4 N_1}^2$) in the context of inter-family “see-saw” models with three (four) generations. We use m_{N_1} (m_{ν_4}) = 100 GeV for the one heavy neutrino and $m_t = 150$ GeV.
- Tab. 2: Numerical values of $A_{CP}^{(W)}/\text{Im}C_{N_1 N_2}^2$ and $A_{CP}^{(W)}/\text{Im}C_{\nu_4 N_1}^2$ for “see-saw” models with three and four generations, respectively. We use $m_l = 0$ for the charged leptons of the first three generations and $m_E = 100$ GeV [28] for the charged lepton of the fourth generation.
- Tab. 3: Numerical results of $A_{CP}^{(Z)}/\text{Im}C_{N_1 N_2}^2$ and $A_{CP}^{(Z)}/\text{Im}C_{\nu_4 N_1}^2$ for “see-saw” models with three and four generations, respectively.

Table 1

m_{N_2} [GeV]	$M_H = 400$ GeV	$M_H = 600$ GeV	$M_H = 800$ GeV
150	$-3.78 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-1.50 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-8.25 \cdot 10^{-4}$
200	$-1.00 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-4.52 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-2.56 \cdot 10^{-3}$
250	$-1.53 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-9.12 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-5.38 \cdot 10^{-3}$
300	—	$-1.49 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-9.34 \cdot 10^{-3}$
400	—	$-2.54 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-2.01 \cdot 10^{-2}$
450	—	$-2.48 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-2.63 \cdot 10^{-2}$
475	—	—	$-2.92 \cdot 10^{-2}$
500	—	—	$-3.19 \cdot 10^{-2}$
600	—	—	$-3.68 \cdot 10^{-2}$
650	—	—	$-3.14 \cdot 10^{-2}$

Table 2

m_{N_2} [GeV]	$M_H = 400$ GeV		$M_H = 600$ GeV		$M_H = 800$ GeV	
	m_l	m_E	m_l	m_E	m_l	m_E
	0 GeV	100 GeV	0 GeV	100 GeV	0 GeV	100 GeV
150	$-8.61 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-3.98 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-5.33 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-2.48 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-3.52 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-1.65 \cdot 10^{-3}$
200	$-2.11 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-1.13 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-1.49 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-7.76 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-1.02 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-5.23 \cdot 10^{-3}$
250	$-3.13 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-1.94 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-2.87 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-1.64 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-2.04 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-1.13 \cdot 10^{-2}$
300	0	0	$-4.58 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-2.83 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-3.44 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-2.02 \cdot 10^{-2}$
400	0	0	$-7.90 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-5.65 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-7.23 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-4.76 \cdot 10^{-2}$
450	0	0	$-8.02 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-6.14 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-9.46 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-6.55 \cdot 10^{-2}$
475	0	0	$-6.71 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-5.29 \cdot 10^{-2}$	-0.106	$-7.51 \cdot 10^{-2}$
500	0	0	0	0	-0.117	$-8.48 \cdot 10^{-2}$
600	0	0	0	0	-0.147	-0.115
650	0	0	0	0	-0.132	-0.109

Table 3

m_{N_3} [GeV]	$M_H = 400$ GeV		$M_H = 600$ GeV		$M_H = 800$ GeV	
	$m_{N_1}(m_{\nu_4}) = 150$ GeV		$m_{N_1}(m_{\nu_4}) = 250$ GeV		$m_{N_1}(m_{\nu_4}) = 350$ GeV	
	$m_{N_2} = 250$ GeV		$m_{N_2} = 350$ GeV		$m_{N_2} = 450$ GeV	
	<i>3Gens</i>	<i>4Gens</i>	<i>3Gens</i>	<i>4Gens</i>	<i>3Gens</i>	<i>4Gens</i>
300	-1.60 10^{-2}	-1.41 10^{-2}				
400	-3.70 10^{-2}	-3.23 10^{-2}	-2.93 10^{-2}	-2.29 10^{-2}		
450	-4.40 10^{-2}	-3.83 10^{-2}	-5.32 10^{-2}	-4.15 10^{-2}		
500	-4.95 10^{-2}	-4.29 10^{-2}	-7.30 10^{-2}	-5.67 10^{-2}	-4.28 10^{-2}	-3.00 10^{-2}
600	-5.74 10^{-2}	-4.94 10^{-2}	-0.103	-7.97 10^{-2}	-0.111	-7.78 10^{-2}
650	-6.03 10^{-2}	-5.17 10^{-2}	-0.115	-8.84 10^{-2}	-0.138	-9.68 10^{-2}
1000	-7.09 10^{-2}	-6.02 10^{-2}	-0.161	-0.122	-0.255	-0.178